



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,457	/828,457 04/09/2001		David Bordeleau	10442-17US JA/IC	6909
20988	7590	01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
OGILVY		-	CHUNG, DANIEL J		
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600 MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3 CANADA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2672	c/
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/828,457	BORDELEAU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel J Chung	2672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	<u>ovember 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🔲 Interview Commen	(PTO 442) Pages No.(a)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11-7-2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woodham, Jr. et al. (6,069,668)

Regarding claim 1, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that the claimed feature of a method for generating a sequence of object definition data sets for a video particle explosion effect comprising: providing a graphics image data file of a particle pattern defining a shape of a plurality of particles; generating a sequence of object definition data sets using graphics image data file; wherein object definition data sets can be used

Art Unit: 2672

to render a particle explosion effect on a video file. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Fig 9, col 1 line 30-42, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34, col 12 line 17-24)

Regarding claims 2-3, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that graphics image data file has a plurality of channels, which are a red channel, a green channel, a blue channel and an alpha channel. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, col 1 line 56-col 2 line17, col 5 line 22-34)

Regarding claim 4, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that graphics image data file defines at least one parameter of an explosion sequence for all of plurality of particles, a spin parameter for each of plurality of particles and a softness of edges of each plurality of particles and wherein shape, explosion sequence, spin parameter and softness are each defined in one of plurality of channels. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, col 1 line 56-col 2 line 17, col 5 line 22-34)

Regarding claim 5, Woodham, Jr. et al disclose that particle pattern is a shattered glass pattern. (See col 1 line 62-67, col 5 line22-34)

Regarding claims 6-8, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that a step of drawing graphics image data file, a step of drawing comprises defining an edge for plurality of particles and filling up each of plurality of particles with a different color, and the step of drawing each channel of graphics image data file and wherein each parameter is defined by drawing colors in each channel. (See Fig 7-9, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34)

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claims 9-10, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that identifying a plurality of triangles for each particle and storing shape information from each triangle in object definition data sets, and identifying a plurality of triangles for each particle and storing parameter information from each triangle in object definition data sets, parameter information being extracted from each channel. (See Fig 7-9, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34)

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claim 11.

Regarding claim 12, Woodham, Jr. et al discloses that loading each field of video source data file into a graphics engine; loading a corresponding one of sequence of object definition data sets into graphics engine; generating a particle exploded video output using field and corresponding object definition data sets. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Fig 9, col 1 line 30-42, col 4 line 53-col 5 line 34, col 12 line 17-24)

Claims 1 and 11 are once again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (5,225,824)

Page 5

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claim 1, Yamamoto et al discloses that the claimed feature of a method for generating a sequence of object definition data sets for a video particle explosion effect comprising: providing a graphics image data file of a particle pattern defining a shape of a plurality of particles; generating a sequence of object definition data sets using graphics image data file; wherein object definition data sets can be used to render a particle explosion effect on a video file. (See Fig 2, col 1 line 48-54, col 5 line 22-35, col 5 line 59-col 6 line 59)

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claim 11.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-3419. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30am- 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael, Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,457

·Art Unit: 2672

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

djc January 14, 2004

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600